



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,672

10/29/2003

Dan Li

INTEL/18112

8400

75343 7590 10/06/2008
Hanley, Flight & Zimmerman, LLC
150 S. Wacker Drive
Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

SHIH, HAOSHIAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/697,672	Applicant(s) LI ET AL.	
	Examiner HAOSHIAN SHIH	Art Unit 2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HAOSHIAN SHIH. (3) ____.

(2) Daniel J. Glitto. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 7,109,979.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant pointed out that there is a typo in the office action mailed on 07/08/2008. Page 3, Line 10 should be "Moyné does NOT specifically disclose that the HCI signals having different codes" to maintain consistency with the 103 rejection heading. The correction is made of record via interview summary paper number 20080925.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/DENNIS-DOON CHOW/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2173
--	--